

STATE BUDGET - CAPITAL WORKS PROGRAM FOR REGIONAL WESTERN AUSTRALIA

3682. Hon Murray Criddle to the Minister for Education and Training representing the Treasurer

I refer to comments made by the Treasurer in the Legislative Assembly on Wednesday 31 May 2006 in relation to the State Government's 2006-07 \$5 billion Capital Works Program and the percentage of that Budget spent in regional areas. Given \$903 million of the \$5 billion will be spent in non-metropolitan regions, can you please advise for each of the following regions -

- (a) Gascoyne Region;
- (b) Goldfields Esperance Region;
- (c) Great Southern Region;
- (d) Kimberley Region;
- (e) Mid-West Region;
- (f) Peel Region;
- (g) Pilbara Region;
- (h) South West Region; and
- (i) Wheatbelt Region,

the following -

- (i) details on each project funded;
- (ii) amount allocated to each project in 2006-07;
- (iii) total cost of the Capital Works Project; and
- (iv) expected completion date?

Hon LJILJANNA RAVLICH replied:

- (1)
  - (a) Gascoyne Region \$22,569,000
  - (b) Goldfields Esperance Region \$113,771,000
  - (c) Great Southern Region \$60,047,000
  - (d) Kimberley Region \$128,545,000
  - (e) Mid-West Region \$58,557,000
  - (f) Peel Region \$118,646,000
  - (g) Pilbara Region \$136,447,000
  - (h) South West Region \$199,962,000
  - (i) Wheatbelt Region \$65,132,000
- (2) The attached Projects by Region Report provides:
  - (i) details on each attributable regional project funded in 2006-07;
  - (ii) the amount allocated to each attributable regional project in 2006-07; and
  - (iii) details on the estimated total cost of each of the regional 2006-07 Capital Works Projects.
  - (iv) It is not possible to provide the expected completion date for each regional project as this information is not expressly collected and recorded centrally by the Department of Treasury and Finance.

This information does not include a large portion of the Capital Works Program that is not easily allocated to particular regions as it relates to matters with State wide significance.

[See paper 1738.]